

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/993,450		DIPIERRO, MASSIMO	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Zachary A. Davis	2137	

All Participants:

(1) Zachary A. Davis.

(2) Vladimir Khodosh (applicant's representative).

Date of Interview: 6 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed to the outstanding final rejection mailed 22 February 2006. Mr. Khodosh indicated that no reply had been filed and no reply would be filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Final rejection mailed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)